UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/933,892	08/21/2001	Ismo Itkonen	100720-00050 (HEIN 18.938	6369	
	7590 08/17/2007 CHIN ROSENMAN LL		EXAMINER TRUONG, THANH K		
575 MADISON			TRUONG, THANH K		
NEW YORK,	NY 10022-2585		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3721		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	09/933,892	1	TKONEN ET AL	
interview Summary	Examiner	1	Art Unit	
·	Thanh K. Truong		3721	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) Martha M. Rumore.	(3)			
(2) <u>Thanh K. Truong</u> .	. (4)			
Date of Interview: 16 August 2007.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	r)⊡ applicant's repre	esentative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Fulton, Jr. (4,607,476).				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊡ was not reached	i. h)⊠ N/A	۸.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was a	agreed to if	an agreement	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenda allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	ppy of the amendme	miner agreents that wo	ed would rende uld render the o	er the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE AN INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION.	last Office action ha DF ONE MONTH OF ERVIEW SUMMARY	s already b R THIRTY [' FORM, W	een filed, APPL DAYS FROM T HICHEVER IS	ICANT IS. HIS
•				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examir	ner's signati	ure, if required	·

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant discussed ways to overcome the 112, second paragraph rejection in the previous non-final office action (mailed on May 18, 2007), and the Applicant also pointed out the different between the present invention and the Fulton reference. For example, in the present invention, the product being wrapped is positioned horizontally and not vertically like in Fulton. The examiner reminded the Applicant that claims are broadly interpreted by the limitations recited in the claims and not by the disclosure.

THANH K. TRUONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700